

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 273 OF 2007

SMT. LATA MANOHAR KERKER THROUGH
POWER OF ATTORNEY MR. SANTOBARAO
KRISHNARAO DESAI AND 3 ORS.

... Petitioners

Versus

SHRI. SUBASH G. KENI

... Respondent

Mr. H. D. Naik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. M. B. D'Costa, Senior Advocate with Mr. J. A. Lobo, Advocate for
the respondent.

Coram:- R. S. MOHITE, J.

Date:- 3rd August, 2007

P.C.

Advocate for the petitioners states that the petition is infructuous as the petitioners have complied with the order. Advocate for the respondent states that in case the order is not complied with, then the respondent should have liberty to take out appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

Since, according to the petitioners the petition is infructuous, the petition is disposed off as infructuous with liberty to the respondent to take action as per law, in case the impugned order is not complied with.

R. S. MOHITE, J.

ssm.